

1  
2  
3  
4

5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7  
8 CHRISTINE CHANG, *et al.*, No. C-07-4005 EMC  
9 Plaintiffs,  
10 v.  
11 ROCKRIDGE MANOR CONDOMINIUM, *et*  
*al.*,  
12 Defendants.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_ /

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF  
COUNSEL FOR GUARDIAN AD LITEM  
(Docket No. 120)**

14  
15 Previously, the Court issued an order denying Plaintiffs' application to appoint counsel for  
16 guardian ad litem. *See* Docket No. 86. The Court then denied Plaintiffs' motion to reconsider the  
17 denial. Plaintiffs have now filed yet another motion asking that counsel be appointed. Plaintiffs  
18 have already had "two bites at the apple" in seeking the requested relief. They are not entitled to a  
19 third. Moreover, Plaintiffs have failed to show why the Court's previous orders should be  
20 reconsidered. As the Court previously stated, Plaintiffs have failed to show that the merits of their  
21 case are sufficiently strong to warrant appointment of counsel, particularly in light of the Court's  
22 orders dismissing the majority of the claims asserted in the original complaint.

23 Because the Court is denying Plaintiffs' request to appoint counsel for guardian ad litem, Ms.  
24 Chang must decide whether she wishes to pursue her application to be appointed guardian ad litem  
25 for Mr. Sun or whether she will withdraw that application and have Mr. Sun dismissed from the  
26 case. *See Johns v. County of San Diego*, 114 F.3d 874, 877 (9th Cir. 1997) (stating that "a parent or  
27 guardian cannot bring an action on behalf of a minor child without retaining a lawyer"). The Court  
28 shall give Ms. Chang until April 14, 2008, to make this decision. If Ms. Chang does not cause a

1 notice of appearance by counsel, representing her in her application for appointment as guardian ad  
2 litem, to be filed by said date, the application will be denied and Mr. Sun will be dismissed.

3 For the foregoing reasons, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiffs' motion for appointment of counsel.

4 The hearing on Plaintiffs' motion set for April 23, 2008 at 10:30 a.m. is hereby **VACATED**.

5 This order disposes of Docket No. 120.

6

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8

9 Dated: March 7, 2008

  
EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States Magistrate Judge

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRISTINE CHANG, *et al.*,

No. C-07-4005 EMC

Plaintiffs,

v.

ROCKRIDGE MANOR CONDOMINIUM, *et  
al.*,

Defendants.

---

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. On the below date, I served a true and correct copy of the attached, by placing said copy/copies in a postage-paid envelope addressed to the person(s) listed below, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail; or by placing said copy/copies into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Office of the Clerk.

Christine Chang  
341 Tideway Drive #214  
Alameda, CA 94501

*ALL OTHER COUNSEL SERVED VIA  
ELECTRONIC FILING*

Dated: March 7, 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING, CLERK

By: \_\_\_\_\_ /s/  
Leni Doyle  
Deputy Clerk